

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

				_
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/707,070	11/19/2003	Takeshi MINAMIURA	031278	1069
23850	7590 10/01/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW			NASSER, I	ROBERT L
SUITE 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006		3736	

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/707,070	MINAMIURA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Robert L. Nasser	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a life reply within the statutory minimum of thir id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a							
Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	= : :	. ,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least term.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/6/04, 11/25/03.	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3736

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 3 recites that the sensor is under the body, which claims a positive relationship to the human body. The human body is non-statutory subject matter and cannot be positively recited. Accordingly, the claim is non-statutory. Applicant should amend claim 3 to recite that the sensor is adapted to lie under the patient . . .

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watson et al 5301678. Watson shows an inductive plethsymographic belt that encircles the body with an LC circuit that measures respiratory variations. With respect to claim 3, the transducer encircles the body, so it lies under the patient.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/707,070

Art Unit: 3736

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watson et al in view of Burton et al 6142953. Burton shows an alternate inductive plethysmograph including a coil 26 or 27 wrapped around an elastic member 21/25 or 22/26. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Watson et al to use the transducer of Burton, as it is merely the substitution of one known equivalent transducer for another. The examiner notes that the pressure acts both perpendicular and parallel to the winding axis of the transducer in Burton et al.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ogawa et al shows an intervening reference that has a inductive sensor 60 and 62 for measuring heart beat data.

Sackner shows an alternate body movement inductive sensor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is (703) 308-3251. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, variable hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (703) 308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,070

Art Unit: 3736

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert L. Nasser Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

RLN September 28, 2004

> ROBERT L NASSER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Rubit & Mason